



Missouri Regional Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

Team Number

Room Number

Judge's Number or Initials

Scale for scoring

- | | |
|---|------------------------|
| 0 | Not at all |
| 1 | Poor or poorly |
| 2 | Fair or slightly well |
| 3 | Good or fairly well |
| 4 | Excellent or very well |
| 5 | Outstanding |

Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (30 point maximum) Circle Score

A. How well did the presentation address or identify:

- The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?
- All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?
- The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?
- The current issue?
- The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?

0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5

B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?

Part I Subtotal	
------------------------	--

Part II Application of Data (35 points maximum) Circle Score

- A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).
- B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.
- C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefits of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.)
- D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).
- E. The team presented a convincing educational program.
- F. The educational program presented has potential to be applied or implemented and has credibility along with sustainability to natural resources.
- G. Appearance of the team was professional and appropriate for the conditions of the presentation.

0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	2	3	4	5

Part II Subtotal	
-------------------------	--



Missouri Regional Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

Team Number

Room Number

Judge's Number or Initials

Scale for scoring

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Poor or poorly
- 2 Fair or slightly well
- 3 Good or fairly well
- 4 Excellent or very well
- 5 Outstanding

Part III Quality of Presentation (20 points maximum)

Circle Score

A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.

 0 1 2 3 4 5

B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)

 0 1 2 3 4 5

C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)

 0 1 2 3 4 5

D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.

 0 1 2 3 4 5

Part III Subtotal

Part IV Required Elements (15 points maximum)

Circle Score

A. Add up to five points for team member participants in presentation. (Each team member gets 1 points for equal oral participation in presentation).

 0 1 2 3 4 5

B. Add up to five points if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time. (Time scale is 6-7 minutes.)

 0 1 2 3 4 5

C. Add up to five points if the presentation provided education to the general public about biodiversity.

 0 1 2 3 4 5

<i>5 pts.- 6-7 mins.</i>	<i>4 pts.- 4-5 mins.</i>	<i>3 pts.- 3 mins.</i>	<i>2 pts- 2 mins.</i>	<i>1 pt.- 1 min. or less</i>
--------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------

Part IV Subtotal

Final Score

Total Points for Part I (30 maximum)

Total Points for Part II (35 maximum)

Total Points for Part III (20 maximum)

Total Points for Part IV (15 maximum)

Final Score (out of 100 points)